

Section	Remark	Proposal
3.1	In the definition of 'Low Voltage Delivery Point Group', the use of 'DSO' may be ambiguous. Is it really the DSO (e.g. Imewo) and not the working company (e.g. Fluvius)?	Clarify what is meant.
5.2.2 (73)	Declaring the Foreign Capacity Holder to 'already be a CRM Candidate' may be problematic given all the rights given to CRM Candidate throughout the text. For example, from (82) a Foreign Capacity Holder could assume that he would be able to prequalify LV delivery points (which, most likely, is not the intention).	Find an alternative formulation.
5.2.3 (82)	"A CRM Candidate willing to prequalify low voltage connected Capacities has to prequalify these Capacities as Additional by following the Standard Prequalification Process" => Why do they have to be Additional?	Explain or remove sentence.
5.2.3.1.2 (93)-(94)	The 'Flexhub' is not defined in the text.	Add to the 'definitions' section
5.2.3.1.2 (94)	We prefer to refer to the MG Flex instead of making a similar requirement in this document. If no reference is made, we propose following changes: 1. Delivery Point Name is not mandatory 2. Technology: Code instead of name. 3. DSO: VAT number instead of name. 4. Mandate: Refer to Synergrid website. 5. Mandate is also mandatory for existing Delivery Points. 6. CO2 emission: this is also mandatory for existing Delivery Points. 7. CO2 emission additional documentation: to be removed, will not be send to the DSO.	Refer to MG Flex. If not, then: 1. Remove the asterix. 2. Replace name by Code. 3. Replace name by VAT number. 4. Refer to Synergrid website. 5. Add an asterix to Existing. 6. Add an asterix to Existing. 7. To be removed from this list. and state that the requirements in the MG Flex prevail.
8.6.1 (485)	It is unclear what the deadline is to complete the test for evolving from additional to existing if the prequalification file submission happens after the bid submission deadline. Is it by tcontrol2?	Clarify by when the DSO needs to provide the test result in this scenario.
18.1.4 (A.4)	The template for the mandate to be used is available on the Synergrid website and referred to by e.g. the FSP-DSO contract. Als these may evolve separately from the CRM functioning rules, including it in the CRM functioning rules may lead to consistency issues.	Refer to the Synergrid website.
18.3.2	Baseline methodology -> where can we find the methodology for Injection points?	Clarify the methodology for injection points.