

# Anonymous contribution received on the design proposals for the Pilot BidLadder *June 2016*

---

- We agree with Elia's position that reactive balancing is the prerogative of the BRP.
- Allowing the FSP to perform reactive balancing would lead to permanent overdelivery (with significant amounts). Furthermore such implementation would in a way expand the market model in a first phase beyond the standard reserve power products, which is explicitly not recommended in the final CREG study.
- At the same time we think that the FSPs should have the possibility to a slight oversupply when providing reserve power in order to avoid undersupply which can be very costly. Therefore we would like to renew the idea of a band that was stated in the first
- Usergroup and that was discussed again during the 2nd one. The exact range of the band should be defined by Elia. We however think that it should not be wider than 10% of the amount of flexibility that is supposed to be activated. Within such band of e.g. +/- 7.5% the imbalance should be treated symmetrical, outside this band the asymmetric approach should be applied.

-----