



---

ENGIE Electrabel

Boulevard Simón Bolívar 34, 1000 Brussels, Belgium

**PARTLY CONFIDENTIAL**

---

To : Elia

Date: 01/10/2020

From: ENGIE Electrabel  
(contact: Mathilde Catrycke & Christophe Baugnet)

---

**Subject: ENGIE's answers to the Elia consultation ; Update on confidentiality**

---

ENGIE thanks Elia for the organization of a public consultation on the input data for the determination of the strategic need for the winter 2021-22 and for projections of the adequacy situation for the next two winters.

#### **Preliminary remarks**

ENGIE fully supports the answer provided by FEBEG and, in particular, refer to the arguments brought forward regarding the evolution of the demand in Belgium in the context of an expected increased electrification of the system and the COVID-19 recovery plans.

Also, ENGIE fully supports the choice to consider 4 nuclear units unavailable in France on top of announced unavailability – referred as the HILO scenario. ENGIE is in the opinion that the uncertainty on the nuclear park in France can directly impact the security of supply in Belgium.

#### **Detailed remarks on the production park:**

- **Nuclear units availability in Q1 2024:** The refueling planning for our nuclear units on Q1-24 should still be validated. Engie will try, as much as possible, to avoid any maintenance during the winter period.
- **Turbojets:** the availability of assets will gradually decrease. For this reason, **we also recommend Elia to provide a sensitivity that not all TJs will be available in the coming years.**
- **Coo:** the capacity of Coo should be limited to the value published on the ENGIE transparency website. The capacity is equal to 1.080 MW.
  - Coo I: 3x145 MW = 435 MW
  - Coo II: 3x215 MW = 645 MW

#### **Others:**

- **Hydro RoR:** Engie wonders what is the origin of the increase of Hydro RoR.