

Minutes of Meeting

WG EMD & SO Oct 21, 2019

Participants

Matthys-Donnadieu	James	ELIA, Co-Chairman
Carton	Filip	ELIA, Co-Chairman
Van Campenhout	Steve	ELIA, Secretary
Genêt	Benjamin	ELIA
Malfliet	Bernard	ELIA
Van Meirhaeghe	Peter	ELIA
Etienne	Gilles	ELIA
Cuijpers	Christian	CREG
Schoutteet	Nico	CREG
Le Page	Jérôme	EFET
Maes	Guillaume	Engie
Coppin	Xavier	Engie
Robbelein	Jo	FOD
Van Bossuyt	Michaël	Febeliec

1. AGENDA

System Operation

1. Update regarding emergency & restoration
2. Introduction to SOGL 76 methodology Core & Channel

European Market Design

3. Update on CEP 70%
4. Update on NemoLink
5. Update on Core methodologies (LT, balancing)

AOB

2. REPORT

F. Carton opens the meeting by welcoming all participants.

No remarks have been received on the MoM from the June 20th meeting, the MoM are therefore approved.

The proposed agenda for today's meeting is approved.

1. Update regarding emergency & restoration

P. Van Meirhaeghe presents a state of play on emergency & restoration activities.

Status on NCER documents

- Consultation report on the test plan is expected towards end Nov;
- Elia will publish after ministerial approval a non-confidential version of the defence and restoration plans. The full version will be disclosed to the concerned SGU's;
- It is clarified that the list of high priority SGU's itself will not be published, yet the concerned SGU's will be informed they are on this list after ministerial approval;
- Elia acknowledges the request from Febeliec to be informed when communication is done to the concerned SGU's.

Defence and restoration plan

- A question is raised regarding the working of low frequency demand disconnection (LFDD), referring to its role in the test plan and its impact in the use case of a CDSO (example of Infrabel). Peter explains low frequency relais are installed in Elia's substations. The activation of these relais is based on frequency thresholds (up to 3 phases). Geographically the system is grouped into 6 segments of a similar amount of MW load. An additional 4 segments have to be added to be compliant with the network codes;
- Elia will contact all SGUs (~170) individually for the installation of the voice communication system. A phased implementation approach is applied, where it appears challenging to complete the full implementation by 31/12/2022.

Test plan

- Febeliec asks what is expected from the SGUs as it concerns Elia equipment. F. Carton points to the interfaces on technical / physical level which can be different from site to site, hence SGU support is needed.

T&C RSP

- The new planning is presented.

- Given the approval/consultation steps are on the critical path, Elia requests to not wait until the last moment to raise comments.

2. Introduction to SOGL 76 methodology Core & Channel

B. Malfliet presents the Core and Channel methodologies on regional coordination for operational security.

EFET asks if the scope of grid elements is being aligned across the different SOGL & CACM methodologies that are interlinked on this topic.

B. Genêt explains this was initially the intention, yet ACER's decision on SOGL 75 enlarged the scope of CSA to allow for an optimization of all elements together. This principle is reflected in SOGL 76, while the cost sharing methodology (CACM 74) applies different criteria to determine which elements are eligible for cost sharing.

3. Update on CEP 70%

S. Van Campenhout explains that Elia has submitted a request for derogation, and re-iterates the reasons for it.

EFET asks if Elia is considering a national procurement of redispatch means. F. Carton clarifies that this is not the intention. J. Matthys-Donnadieu refers to the iCAROS task force of the Balancing WG where the context of EFET's question is discussed.

Febeliec asks what happens if one NRA in the CCR does not agree with a derogation request of a TSO. Elia replies that in this case CEP stipulates the decision is passed on to ACER.

N. Schoutteet confirms CREG will organize a consultation regarding Elia's request for derogation.

Market parties welcome the transparency from Elia side, yet still see many question marks on what will happen in the CWE / Core / EU landscape. Elia informs that most recent signals for CWE are positive wrt preserving the current minRAM20%, and indeed there are still question marks on what other Member States will do.

4. Update on NemoLink

G. Etienne recalls what happens in case of a no-deal Brexit. EFET asks how LTTRs will be remunerated. G. Etienne explains that in case LTTRs are resold into DA, the LTTR holder receives the price set by the explicit auction;

G. Etienne confirms the implementation of the ID explicit product on the BE-GB bidding zone border is on track for go-live mid-November. Market parties welcome this development.

5. Update on Core methodologies (LT, balancing)

B. Genêt shares the status on LTCC, LTSR and regional EGBL methodologies for cross-zonal allocation for balancing capacity.

On LTCC

Febeliec supports Elia's motivation to strive for a better LTCC methodology, and asks about next steps. B. Genêt explains that content and timing of these steps are still to be agreed, it's now first up to EC to define the appropriate steps. EFET asks what the role of EC entails. B. Genêt explains EC has a facilitating role.

On LTSR

The topic is at early discussion stage on regulatory side. B. Genêt confirms that the 80/20 proposal is a compromise driven approach.

On EGBL

Febeliec and EFET share Elia's view that the use of capacity for the balancing instead of the day-ahead market should be subject to a cost-benefit analysis respecting the flow-based context.

6. AOB

The date for the next meeting is set on Feb 7th from 14:00 till 17:00.