

iCAROS – 1st implementation workshop

MEETING	
Date	27/06/2018
Organiser	Elia

REPORT	
Author	Martine Verelst
Function	Project Manager MD
Date report	18/12/2018
Status	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Draft <input type="checkbox"/> Final version

PARTICIPANTS - stakeholders	
Bruninx Jolien	BASF
Ceusters Michel	Vynova
Coste Paul-Emmanuel	Engie
Curtoud Alex	EDF luminous
Ferhah Idir	BASF
JeanPierre Jonathan	Engie
Leroy Nicolas	Edora
Leroy Xavier	EDF Luminus
Loos Rob	Teamwise
Steensels Marc	Rentel
Van Bossuyt Michaël	Febeliec
Van Melkebeek Wouter	Engie
Vandercammen Dirk	ParkWind
Verhelst Clara	CREG
PARTICIPANTS - Elia	
Maes Guillaume	
Leroux Amandine	
Rousseaux Sébastien;;	
Tassignon Steven	
Van den waeyenberg Sofie	
Verelst Martine	

1. REPORT

After a general introduction on the iCAROS design project, Elia explains its approach for the implementation. The goal is to have by end of November 2018 a general view of the impacted processes and tools at stakeholders' side and Elia side.

Through different workshops in autumn this will be discussed in order to have an overall view of the implementation scope. Elia does not yet commit itself to an implementation planning. This will be derived from the implementation scope and the overall impacted processes and tools.

The work and discussions will not stop at the end of 2018, but will continue with implementation meetings for follow-up and fine-tuning.

The meeting today will focus on outage planning. For purpose of introduction, Sofie presents the future roles and responsibilities and the design for outage planning, as proposed and consulted in the design notes end 2017/early 2018.

Business analyst Steven Tassignon presents the general approach Elia has in mind related to the implementation of outage planning (an element of the global iCAROS design).

During the presentation a lot of questions for clarifications were asked on the topic of outage planning or more in general :

- It is requested to have Must Run/May Not Run for demand assets. Elia acknowledges that this is not foreseen in the current design proposal as ELIA believes that the opportunities for such requests on demand assets are currently too limited. Based on future experience with demand flexibility for redispatching this question can be reviewed (see also consultation report).
- Febeliec asks about request for amendments of outage planning for demand : in theory it is possible but in practice not very likely that ELIA will or can ask for an outage amendment on a demand facility
- What is the deadline for providing outage planning amendments for <25MW ?
Currently, there is no deadline, the information should be provided as soon as known. Elia will in the implementation trajectory review whether this can be more specified to avoid misunderstandings.
- How will data flows related to outage planning and scheduling be managed? Elia has currently in mind to develop a common data platform for Outage Planning and Scheduling
- Are transparency flow in or out of scope of iCAROS? This is currently under analysis at Elia in order to have a view on the possible synergies.
- Can the data provided in the framework of REMIT be reused for outage planning and/or schedules? Will there be an alignment between the Schedule Market Message & Urgent Market Message ? ELIA states that it is not the goal to use REMIT information as input replacing the outage planning or schedules.
- Question is raised on the final liability of the grid user: what if a grid user has full liability but he does not find an OPA/SA ? ELIA points out that if the grid user does not

designate a third party as Outage Planning Agent or Scheduling Agent, the grid user by default takes on the roles and responsibilities.

- Is it possible that the transparency obligation is not within the responsibilities of the OPA?
- EDF Luminus explains it is developing a new infrastructure and data architecture, which will take into account bidding and transparency links. These links need to be discussed and clarified before there can be a final view on the platform.
- EDF luminus is also wondering how the iCAROS implementation will impact the balancing position and nominations in NxPro.
- BASF asks whether there can be some examples proposed for the "reason of unavailability"? ELIA will further analyse together with the stakeholders whether this will be an open text field or whether the use of predefined categories would be recommended.
- A question is raised regarding a possible overlap between the statuses "Strategic Reserve" and "in service". The impact of SGR contract needs to be taken into account in the implementation.
- Stakeholders also need a view on the categorization of PGMs : which asset in which level.
- Can an OPA reject a request of amendment by Elia (e.g. case of Demand)? Yes, this needs to be added in the flow. The OPA must, however, explain the reason for rejection.
- Febeliec wonders if information collected during meetings would be entered by Elia in the tool. The design note states that Elia needs to receive the unplanned outages of demand facilities without delay. However, Elia will assess if the info on planned outages collected by the KAM in contact with the grid user can be entered in the OPA tool and be made visible for the OPA in the interface in order to reduce the workload for demand facilities.
- BASF request to clarify the roles and responsibilities of OPA and SA versus those of a CDSO.
- The design related to DSO will probably be presented and discussed after summer.
- BASF wonders if Cross-border relevant assets are already defined. A document from ENTSO-e should be available by mid-September.

Elia analyses the different questions and will also continue over summer to work further on implementation proposals.

2. DATE FOR NEXT MEETING

Next meeting will be planned after summer.