

Meeting report

MEETING 2nd Fine Tuning workshop iCAROS	
Date	22/5/2019
Organiser	Elia implementation project iCAROS

PARTICIPANTS
Michaël Van Bossuyt – Febeliec
Rob Loos - aspiravi-trading
Walter Aertsens – Infrabel
Michel Ceusters - VYNOVA-GROUP
Ludovic (Ludo) Platbrood – Eneco
Lieven Van De Keer – T-Power
Wouter Van Melkebeek – Engie
Jolien Bruninx – BASF
Halida Benaiche (Luminus)
Steven Harlem – Luminus
Johannes Schulz (RWE Supply & Trading GmbH) – skype
PARTICIPANTS – ELIA
Elodie Ciciriello
Amandine Leroux
Steven Tassignon
Anna Bondarenko
Martin Funck
Viviane Illegems

1. AGENDA

- Introduction
- overview of outage planning processes after implementation of iCAROS design

2. REPORT

- Participants ask feedback whether there is a progress in the discussion with the DSOs to introduce a similar design for DSO units. Elia representative clarifies that the objective of Elia is to propose a similar design towards DSOs and that there are regular meetings

between Elia and DSOs in the framework of synergrid that gives Elia the opportunity to report towards DSOs the progress regarding the implementation of iCAROS as well as to identify features that cannot be fully copied/pasted.

- Participants request that in the T&C OPA it will be clearly stipulated how PGMs and storage units A need to be addressed and this in 2 specific cases what in the case of standalone and what in the case of embedded.
- Participants request a practical solution for CDS and other situations where the owner of the asset and as such the operator of the asset is not the one who signed a connection agreement with Elia and as such this grid user cannot perform the task of OPA for the asset which is connected through its connection because he has no access to the required data due to confidentiality and competition clauses.
- Participant representing Infrabel indicates that concept of grid user is the one who signs the connection agreement cannot be transposed as such to railways. It would be possible to grant a deviation to the railway “traction grid” operator given this is foreseen in legislative framework.
- Participant representing BASF indicates a clarification is needed for the CDS operators in general as well.
- Participants indicates that if consistency exist between information delivered by OPA and SA. That the system should send a warning to the OPA, SA and grid user that there are inconsistencies so they can coordinate between each other.
- Participants request how to take into consideration congestion in the CDS grid that prevents them from injection in the grid. Elia representatives indicate that the asset is available but temporary restrictions apply.
- Participants also request an indication of the preferred order to up-date information as well as relaxations of checks as soon as OPA or SA indicates that a forced unavailability is the reason for changes. Elia will investigate possible scenarios and will provide a feedback.
- Participants request clarification on the exact liability of grid user in his role of OPA and SA.
- Participants request what with an entered amendment that is not yet validated by Elia. REMIT requires asap information but according to some of the participants this should only be validated information by Elia.
- The concept of Tentative Available is appreciated by participants. It gives additional flexibility.
- Participants request clarification on the remuneration. Elia states that these should be reasonable, directly-related to the requested amendment and demonstrable. A non-exhaustive list of elements that could be considered could be added in T&C OPA but if a nominative list is constructed there is a risk that an element is forgotten. This list could for PGMs be inspired on the current element in CIPU but such inspiration is lacking for demand facilities and storage so participants are invited to send in possible high level elements to be considered.
- A participant requests whether Elia will provide transparency regarding the reason why Elia request amendments of unavailability of assets. According to this participant it should not be for adequacy reasons given the market should first be given the opportunity to solve the problem. If the market solves the adequacy issue this is at a lower cost for society given the cost will not go in the transport tariffs. Elia replies that

the rules for amendments will be noted in the coordination & congestion management rules that will be submitted to the competent regulatory authorities. The focus of these amendments are mainly to allow the needed grid maintenances.

3. DATE FOR NEXT MEETING

24 June 2019 – 3th Fine Tuning workshop iCAROS