

Meeting report

MEETING 4th Fine Tuning workshop iCAROS – focus on the public consultation regarding the T&C OPA and T&C SA - that will replace the current CIPU contract and will also be signed by the BRP -, and the Rules for Coordination and Congestion Management.	
Date	25/9/2019
Organiser	Elia implementation project iCAROS

PARTICIPANTS
1. Michaël Van Bossuyt – Febeliec
2. Margot Van Nuffel – Otary
3. Hugo Canière – Belgian Offshore Platform
4. Michel Ceusters - VYNOVA-GROUP
5. Pauline Ottoy – VREG
6. Lieven Van De Keer – T-Power
7. Wouter Van Melkebeek – Engie
8. Jolien Bruninx – BASF
9. Johannes Schulz - RWE Supply & Trading GmbH
10. Laila Chafaqi - Luminus
11. Halid Sen – Eneco Groep
12. Ludovic Platbrood - Eneco
13. Brutus Artois – Eneco
14. Thibault Lecrompe - Lampiris SA/NV
15. Steven Harlem – Luminus
16. Wim Luyckx - Statkraft Markets GmbH
17. Walter Aertsens - Infrabel
18. Luc Decoster - Fluvius
PARTICIPANTS – ELIA
1. Manuel Aparicio
2. Raphaël Dufour
3. Amandine Leroux
4. Martin Funck
5. Viviane Illegems

1. AGENDA

- PART 1 : Introduction
- PART 2 : Presentation of the T&C OPA and the T&C SA
- PART 3 : Presentation of the Rules for Coordination and Congestion Management.

2. REPORT

PART 1 : introduction:

The agenda of the workshop is presented.

It is clarified that the general conditions that are part of the OPA contract and SA contract are publicly consulted in a separate public consultation during the same time period because these general conditions will apply for all ancillary services.

It is also recalled that these documents reflect the current "as-is" situation and should be viewed as a first step of a transitional period that ends with the introduction of the new design elements as proposed by Elia in the framework of the iCAROS project. These documents does not introduce any of the new design elements of iCAROS. A process based on fine-tuning workshops vis-à-vis the design of iCAROS is currently on-going with all the relevant stakeholders.

PART2 : Elia presents the T&C OPA and the T&C SA that are currently consulted.

The following responses were collected:

- Participants request to have clarifications on the obligations for PGMs and ESDs type B connected to the Elia Grid directly or through a CDSO. Elia confirms for those units that the objective was that PGMs and ESDs type B connected to the Elia Grid directly or through a CDSO for which already information is provided in the framework of the current CIPU contract could continue doing so but the PGMs and ESDs type B connected to the Elia Grid directly or through a CDSO for which this is not the case would not be obliged to do investments which are not in line with the long term vision of implementing the iCAROS design. The main body of the T&C OPA and T&C SA contains this information. If the T&C OPA and T&C SA are approved by the CREG, only PGMs and ESDs type B connected to the Elia Grid directly or through a CDSO that want on a voluntary basis provide information as specified in OPA contract and SA contract shall sign these contracts. PGMs and ESDs type B connected to the Elia Grid directly or through a CDSO that agree with usage of the default rules will not need to sign the OPA contract or SA contract given that the approval of the T&C OPA and T&C SA by the CREG implies if no further action is taken by these units that they agree with the default rules.
- Participants request clarification on possible impact of PGMs and ESDs type B connected to the Elia Grid directly or through a CDSO that would voluntary opt for providing MW schedules and the requirements this will trigger for these units regarding balancing products. Elia replies that these T&C OPA and T&C SA does not introduce any new requirements and that PGMs and ESDs type B connected to the Elia Grid directly or through a CDSO should indeed carefully assess the impact set by balancing products before voluntary opting to provide

MW schedule information because this is indeed used as a criterion in balancing products to set requirements. However Elia does not expect that besides PGMs and ESDs type B connected to the Elia Grid directly or through a CDSO already doing it today would voluntarily opt to sign the OPA contract and SA contract and as such provide the required MW schedules (only option in the as is situation).

- Participants request to have clarification on the obligations for demand facilities. Elia confirms for demand facilities that in these T&Cs in line with the AS IS situation no additional information beside the one already collected in existing processes is requested from demand facilities. This to avoid that demand facilities connected to the Elia Grid directly or through a CDSO would be obliged to do investments which are not in line with the long term vision of implementing the iCAROS design. The main body of the T&C OPA and T&C SA contains this information. If the T&C OPA and T&C SA are approved by the CREG, demand facilities connected to the Elia Grid directly or through a CDSO will not need to sign the OPA contract or SA contract given that the approval of the T&C OPA and T&C SA by the CREG implies that no signature of the OPA contract and SA contract is needed by demand facilities.
- Participants request to have clarification whatever the type of PGM or ESD if these are technical units used in the framework of emergency power supply ("noodvoeding") as defined in article 2 §2 of the Federal Grid Code that these units are exempted for the requirements specified in T&C OPA and T&C SA. Elia agrees to include this clarification in the versions of the T&C OPA and SA that will be submitted for approval to the CREG.
- Participants request clarification what the process would be if the requested amendments consist in amendments of the principles defining the current T&C OPA and T&C SA. Elia replies that every review of the principles shall first be discussed with all relevant stakeholders, only after this discussion a public consultation will take place.
- Participants request clarification regarding the remunerations of amendments in the framework of OPA contract and SA contract. Elia confirms that the existing principles are maintained in this version of the T&C OPA and T&C SA given that these reflect the current as is situation.

PART 3 : Elia presents the Rules for Coordination and Congestion Management that are currently consulted.

The following responses were collected

- Participants request clarification on how and when XB relevant assets are identified. Elia replies that these were identified 2 weeks ago in the framework of an ENTSO-E process and SOGL articles 85 and 87 and that the necessary communications will take place in line with the timings specified in the ENTSO-e methodology. However the most recent assessment has not identified any demand facility as cross-border relevant asset. The official communication will take place before 1st of December 2019.
- Participants request to have the following footnote also added in the rules to clarify the link between must run and may not run used in the rules and the terminology used in the T&C OPA and T&C SA.

"Depending on the procedure engaged, Must-Run (MR) and May-Not-Run (MNR) have different names in T&C OPA and T&C SA, namely

MR: Stand by Reserved (in Stand by procedure) and Ready to Run Reserved (in Ready to run procedure)

MNR: May Not Run (in Stand by procedure) and May Not Ready-to-Run (in Ready to run procedure)"

- Participants request to use the term "request" instead of "demand" in the rules given the later suggest that the SA cannot refuse the requested amendment requested by Elia.
- Participants request that current wording regarding the asynchronous "activation" of compensation bid is replaced by the asynchronous "selection" of compensation bid, given that although selected at different timeframe the activation will take place for the same time period.
- Participants request clarification that every congestion bid selection is compensated by a compensation bid also if it is a "Curtailed of an electricity generating Technical Unit" so that there are no consequences for the BRP having the curtailed electricity generating technical unit in its portfolio. Elia clarifies after the meeting that a "Curtailed of an electricity generating Technical Unit" does not result in the activation of a compensation bid and it is up to the BRP to make the necessary adjustments in its portfolio.
- Participants request to have a clarification that the current remuneration practices are maintained. Elia confirms that the current principles in de Day-ahead and intra-day timeframe are maintained in this version of T&C SA and rules. No changes as announced in the framework of the iCAROS design are introduced yet. Remuneration of congestion bids in DA is cost-based and in ID is market-based.
- Participants request to have the given clarification regarding which information will be publicly available be included in the Rules. Elia indicates that a minor amendment in this case would require a new public consultation.
 - ENTSO-e Transparency Platform: publication of information regarding
 - Congestion management measures
 - Unavailability of generation and production units

Elia website (Elia confirms the following information will become available from May 2020 (target date).

- Quarterly report on Congestion Management including:
- KPI on the quality of forecasts used as operational input data for the creation of the Individual Grid Models (IGM)
- KPI on the quality of output data
- Information about the timing, power, location, and purpose for activations of costly remedial actions by Elia (including activations for downward Redispatching using Technical Units subject to the priority of dispatch)
- Historical values of a selection of relevant KPIs.

Elia will assess whether they can add more information in the Rules without jeopardizing possible future evolutions.

- Participants request to add in the Rules info regarding the methodology used to identify the number of Electrical Zones. What is the trigger to assess the number of zones, how are market participants involved and how is the final decision communicated to the market. They also request clarifications on how market parties can be aware that a zone is red. How can they detect this? Elia respond that it will assess how to introduce some minor clarifications in the Rules to accommodate this need.

- Participants request clarification were the application of red zone on balancing bids is explained. Elia explains that today this is described in the T&Cs related to these products.
- Participants request clarification regarding the review process of the coordination rules if T&C OPA and T&C SA are amended. Elia replies that each time an assessment will be done whether the coordination rules need to be amended are not. If the answer is no then this shall be explained in the accompanying explanatory note.

3. DATE FOR NEXT MEETING

23 October 2019 (exact timing will be confirmed later on)