

# Meeting report

<b>MEETING 11<sup>th</sup> Fine Tuning workshop iCAROS – focus on fine-tuning of design &amp; Usage of RD energy bids for other purposes than national congestion management</b>	
<b>Date</b>	03/06/2021
<b>Organiser</b>	Elia implementation project iCAROS

<b>PARTICIPANTS</b>			
1	Berger	Michael	
2	Braecke	Pascal	
3	Bruninx	Jolien	BASF
4	Canière	Hugo	Belgian Offshore Platform
5	Chafaqi	Laila	Luminus
6	Coenaerts	Thomas	Luminus
7	Dierckxsens	Carlos	Next Kraftwerke
8	Donnay de Casteau	Loic	Engie
9	Ferhah	Idir	BASF
10	Hegger	Frederik	Next Kraftwerke
11	Harlem	Steven	Luminus
12	Hobeck	Hardy	RWE Supply & Trading
13	Kästner	Lars	
14	Kormoss	Aymeric	Eoly
15	Lazarescu	Anca Manuela	RWE

16	Nier	Guido	RWE Supply & Trading GmbH
17	Parmentier	Cedric	Luminus
18	Potvliege	Harold	Flexcity
19	Schaechtele	Katharina	BASF
20	Stubbe	Gerald	ENGIE – GEM - IT
21	Suykens	Cathy	Parkwind
22	Van Bossuyt	Michaël	Febeliec
23	Van Bruwaene	Mattijs	Luminus
24	Van Nuffel	Margot	Otary
25	Van Migom	Léa	eZ-nergy
26	Vervloesem	Lode	Luminus
27	Waignier	Jean-François	Febeg
28	Waelkens	Tom	BASF
29	Wiesé	Nadia	Next-Kraftwerke

### **PARTICIPANTS – ELIA**

1. Caroline Bosschaerts
2. Elodie Ciciriello
3. Benjamin De Vis
4. Jean-François Dufour
5. Raphaël Dufour
6. Dimitri De Peutter
7. Martin Funck
8. Amandine Leroux
9. Sébastien Rousseaux
10. Steven Tassignon
11. Anna Tsiokanos
12. Arnout Van Bruwaene

## 13. Viviane Illegems

### 1. AGENDA

- Introduction
- Link between Delivery Point and Technical Unit
- Delivery and amendment of availability plan
- Scheduling and redispatching – Data provision monitoring
- Coordinability levels
- Usage of RD energy bids for other purposes than national congestion management

### 2. REPORT

The agenda of the workshop is presented. Followed by a presentation of Elia of fine-tuning of design & Usage of RD energy bids for other purposes than national congestion management

The following responses were collected during the meeting:

- It is asked, whether regarding energy bidding for redispatching should be provided for each Operating Mode or only the active one. Elia confirms that redispatching energy bids should be provided for each Operating Mode if these are technically feasible.
- It is asked, at which level Delivery Points will be. Elia confirms that delivery points can be within the grid of a CDSO if the Technical Facility is located within a CDSO.
- It is asked what is “abusive behaviors” in case of data quality issues. Elia clarifies that the exact definition will be included in the contract but Elia also stresses that it is aware that a lot of change management is required at the side of the Scheduling Agent (SA) and as such the objective is not to penalize straight away a learning period will be foreseen.
- It is asked whether schedule and RD energy bids can be send in parallel given the asynchronous communication layer. Elia reconfirms that schedules and RD energy bids are supposed to be coherent and Elia will check the coherency between both information flows submitted. Given the information is sent in separately, Elia will allow a time range including a buffer to get the data coherent. Sending the schedule in parallel or sequential is the choice of each Market Party’s implementation and will depend on the best way the Market Party can comply with the data quality requirement imposed by Elia regarding coherence between Schedule updates and RD energy bid updates.
- It is asked whether an SA should wait for the approval of its schedule before sending in the RD energy bids. Elia replies that schedules are automatically approved except in 3 cases : a RD energy bid has been earlier activated in the opposite direction of the schedule update for the Delivery Points; a storm event is forecasted or ongoing and the Technical Facility is a PPM offshore or a must run/may not run was negotiated for the concerned delivery point. As such in all except the above cases RD energy bids can be sent in parallel or sequential with the schedule update depending on the assessment of the Market Party which type of data flow is the most suited to comply with the data quality control checks in place. The objective of these data quality control checks will be to check whether an update of a schedule results in an update of the corresponding RD energy bid (allowing buffer time) and that if not the case, this could be a reason to impose the

previous schedule if the update was not accompanied by a RD energy bid update (only in case of congestion where no other solution can be found by Elia).

- It is asked whether a return to schedule is remunerated. Elia replies that this is not remunerated and if the instruction is not followed that a penalty will apply.
- It is asked how the coordinability levels will be assessed. Elia replies that for some technical facilities these are clear. For instance onshore and offshore PPM are coordinable downwards but not coordinable upwards. SPGMs like CCGTs and OCGTs are coordinable in upward and downward direction. For others a discussion with the KAM energy will be possible and the consequence of this will be clearly stipulated by the KAM energy. Elia also stipulates that coordinability does not mean a reaction within 12,5 min but only that the Technical Facility can react on an instruction from Elia within a certain delay.
- It is asked whether there will be an indicator published that can be linked to an activation for other purposes. Elia replies that when activations can be done (i.e. the triggers of these activations) is described in the regulated documents relevant for these other purposes (for instance LFCBOA) but when a RD energy bid is activated for another reason than local congestion management it will be indicated in the activation request message sent to the SA.
- It is asked whether additional information can be provided regarding the CRI concept and how it will be used. Elia notes down this request and will assess when it can organize a workshop regarding this. (outside of the meeting : Elia will organize a CRI workshop 7 October 2021 from 10 till 12 am)
- It is asked, whether the planning for implementation of iCAROS is on track. Elia replies that a feedback regarding this will be given in the WG Balancing meeting of 28/6/2021 given the readiness check with market parties will take place during June 2021.

Additional feedback, comments and suggestions can be sent to

iCAROS Program Manager  
**Viviane Illegems**

Design architect outage planning, scheduling, redispatching  
**Caroline Bosschaerts & Raphaël Dufour**

KAM Energy  
**Amandine Leroux / Arno Motté**