

Minutes of Task Force Scenarios: Closing meeting of the first year 26/01/2022

Meeting

Date	26/01/2022
Organiser	Elia

Participants		Attended	Excused
Anciaux Pauline	FOD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Boisseleau Francois	Engie	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Bontems Olivier	Ideta	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Canière Hugo	BOP	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Carnier Marc	Engie	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Claes Peter	Febeliec	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Cornelis Erwin	BBL	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
de Changy Maxime	Fluxys	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Debrigode Patricia	CREG	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Herbreteau Sarah	CREG	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Heylen Benjamin	FOD	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Holtrup Hans-Jurgen	RWE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Lachi Simon	RESA	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Laleman Ruben	Engie	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Maréchal Thomas	FOD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Monami Eric	EDORA	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Piret Alain	Sibelga	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Robbelein Jo	FOD	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Schjelderup Ina	RWE	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Van Bossuyt Michael	Febeliec	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Van Damme Kathy	VEB	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Vandeburie Julien	Resa	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Vazquez Juan	Fluxys	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Verboomen Jody	Siemens Energy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Verhelst Clara	CREG	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Wagnier Jean-Francois	Febeg	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Vander Mynsbrugge Jorrit	Elia	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Feito-Kiczak Rafael	Elia	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sleurs Kristof	Elia	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Magein Louis	Elia	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Willems Sander	Elia	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Ulusoy Halit Erkut	Elia	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Harlem Steven	Luminus	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Report

Author	Jorrit Vander Mynsbrugger
Function	Secretary of the Task Force
Date report	02/03/2022
Status	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Draft <input type="checkbox"/> Final version



0. Welcome to the Task Force

The chairperson of the Task Force, Mr. Kristof Sleurs opened the meeting and welcomed all participants. Elia presented the agenda as shown below.

ID	Start	End	Timing	Topic
0	10:00	10:20	00:20	Agenda & Welcome
1	10:20	10:35	00:15	Summary of 2021
2	10:35	11:25	00:50	Overview of the received feedback
3	11:25	11:40	00:15	Looking forward
4	11:40	12:00	00:20	Room for feedback

1. Formal Approval of the Minutes

Elia asked if there were any remaining comments on the meeting minutes of the workshops having occurred on 17/09/2021 & 24/09/2021. These minutes have already been published in 'draft' status and comments received thus far have already been integrated.

Comments:

No comments. The minutes can be published in 'final' status.

2. Summary of 2021

Elia provided a short lookback on the process of the past year in the Task Force Scenarios.

Comments:

No comments.

3. Overview of the received feedback

Elia gave an overview of the received comments and mentioned the parties answering to the public consultation. Elia indicated on which webpage the stakeholder feedback, the consultation report & the final scenario report was shared with the participants.

Feedback to the consultation were then presented, following the structure of the answers report. For each topic Elia first gave a short overview of the received feedback and further explained its answers.

On the topic of the **Link with the European framework** there were no additional questions during the presentation.

Then, Elia presented the received feedback and explained its answers on the topic of the **Proposed storylines**. BBL confirmed that their input on the fundamental scenario axes were provided a bit late in the process.

After that, Elia went over the feedback and the answers regarding the topic **Storylines to scenarios**. There were no additional questions on this topic during the presentation.

After presenting the feedback on **Photovoltaic generation** was addressed, FEBEG stated that EU figures seem to be in line with their proposal. Elia agreed that it is the case until 2030 but after 2030, no fixed EU target leads Elia to estimate the potential and draft the trajectories depending on the scenarios within the ranges the EU target would set.



Elia then gave an overview regarding the topic of **Onshore & Offshore wind**. Elia affirmed its intention to integrate tidal energy but could not yet access to resources regarding the potential in Belgium. Thus, Elia selected a value that seems likely. BBL then expressed its satisfaction that Elia foresees tidal energy.

Subsequently, the topic of **Electricity demand** was addressed based upon the feedback and the answers. ENGIE asked for clarification regarding the timing of heat pump ratio values. Elia clarified by affirming that the horizon is 2050.

Afterwards, Elia gave an overview of the received feedback regarding **Demand side response**. The answers were then further explained. At this point no additional questions about the topic were raised.

The presentation then followed with the received feedback and the answers regarding **Storage**.

Thereafter, the topic of **Electrolyzers** was addressed. Fluxys stated that the range is quite limiting regarding electrolyzers. Elia answered that studies don't show enough running hours for high economic viability and thus, for local development – justifying the proposed range. Fluxys then expressed its intent to discuss further on the topic bilaterally with Elia.

Lastly, Elia gave a short overview of the feedback and its answers on the topic of **Dispatchable generation**.

4. Looking forward

Elia repeated the initial main goal of the Task Force Scenarios: i.e. creating a bi-annual process with a long-term scenario report drafted in odd years and a short-term scenario report drafted in even years. Elia explained that due to legal requirements regarding short-term studies the short term grouping of scenario discussions in the TF scenarios seems no longer possible. Indeed, Elia stated that the law requires consultation for CRM calibration & Adequacy and Flexibility consultations at different moments in the course of 2022. Thus, Elia deems it better to integrate scenario discussions for these studies into their project specific task force. Elia invites the members of the Task Force Scenario to join the above-mentioned task forces to facilitate these short term assumption discussions.

Comments:

Stakeholders comment that in the draft report, it was unclear which part of the range for each hypothesis (e.g. PV capacity) is going to be linked to a scenario. Elia answers that it is going to depend on the storyline. For instance, more PV capacity will be considered in *e-Prosumer* and less will be considered in *Global Import*. Stakeholders also ask whether they will review the choice of assumptions for each scenarios.

FEBEG wonders if reconciling short-term studies is possible, as the discussions seem fractured again. Elia answers that long-term and short-term approaches differ as one leads to scenarios and the other to best estimates. Furthermore, legal requirements are evoked – rendering the reconciliation impracticable. Elia states its obligation to organize public consultation.

ENGIE states that it makes sense to have discussed long-term in a different way than the short-term. However, ENGIE indicates that it is important for the short-term to evolve naturally into the long-term – avoiding great variations in limited amount of time.

BBL invites to explore and discuss about the electrification of the industry as interesting technological pathways are currently in development. Elia answers that electrification of the industry is a key topic for the viewpoint publication in 2022. Besides, Elia is working on setting up a proper way of interacting with industry stakeholders about this topic

Fluxys asks in which Elia studies (in 2022 & 2023) the results of the task force will be used. Elia answers that the outcome will be used in the upcoming Federal Development Plan 2024-2034. Additionally, Elia states that it aligns the output from the long-term discussions with the TYNDP data updates when practicable.

5. Feedback + Q&A

Elia states that in 2021, for the first time, a co-creation of the scenarios to be used for long-term studies at Elia was realized. Thus, Elia asks for feedback to the participants.

Comments:

Fluxys notes that discussions went well and expresses its satisfaction.



Febeliec states that the annual process can be started earlier in the year. Furthermore, it states that project sensitive information remains difficult to share with all stakeholders and that a solution to tackle this is required. Febeliec senses that same discussions occur over time and suggests to drop the 10-year ahead in the long-term discussions. Febeliec underlines the necessity to co-create – i.e. asks for more discussion and less exposition.

BBL states its satisfaction to participate in the co-creation. BBL regrets the measures hindering physical meeting as it, in turn, hinders the co-creation process. BBL asks why DSO's were not involved. Elia answers that despite invitation, DSO's have not joined. BBL regrets this as DSO's presence can give insight regarding district heating, gas network and so forth.

FEBEG expresses its satisfaction for having exchanges on long-term visions and new technologies such as V2G, EV's & electrolyzers. FEBEG underlines the importance of the smooth transition between short-term and long-term. Moreover, FEBEG states that the expectations became clearer along the way and led to increased collaboration towards the end. Lastly, FEBEG expresses its desire to get involved in the future.

ENGIE states that individual and bilateral meetings can be insightful in the future.

The chairperson then thanked all the present for their active participation and interesting feedback and stated his hopes to see the task force back together at full force when starting the next long-term cycle.