

WG Adequacy #22 – Minutes of the Meeting

Thursday 14th of September 2023

Meeting	
Date	14/09/2023
Organiser	Voet Jan

Participants		Attended
Anciaux Pauline	Cabinet Minister of Energy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Baugnet Christophe	Engie	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Catrycke Mathilde	Engie	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Collilieux Mathieu	Total Energies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
De Langhe Wietse	Aspiravi	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
De Taeye Bert	Infrabel	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
De Waele Bart	CREG	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
De Wispelaere Bram	Cabinet Minister of Energy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Debrigode Patricia	CREG	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Delferière Alan	FPS Economy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Harlem Steven	Luminus	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Herman Sofie	STORM	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Laenens Dimitri	Park Wind	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Marin Alexandre	Thy-Marcinelle	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Pary Maximilien	Total Energies	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Rkiouak Laylla	FPS Economy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Smolin Georg	Gunvor Group	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Taverniers Hans	Eneria	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Van Bossuyt Michael	Febeliec	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Van den Bosch Sven	Fluvius	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Van den Waeyenberg	CREG	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Van Der Biest Piet	Siemens Energy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Verrydt Eric	BASF	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Voorsprols Kris	COGEN Vlaanderen	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Wagnier Jean-François	FEPEG	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Willemot Guy	Tessenderlo Group	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Report	
Author	H. Erkut Ulusoy
Function	PMO Adequacy
Date report	06/10/2023
Status	<input type="checkbox"/> Draft <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Final version

1. Agenda

- Welcome
- *Update on Cost of Capacity Study – Entrás – **Not Presented***
- CRM design updates
- Introduction on Y-2 & 200h rule
- Update from Cabinet
- AOB
- Next meetings

2. Minutes of Meetings

Disclaimer: The slides used as a support of the presentation are available [online](#). The minutes of meetings only cover the discussions that took place during the Working Group.

Update on Cost of Capacity Study – Entrás – Not Presented

Due to ongoing discussions with Entrás and for organizational purposes, this topic was not presented during this WG Adequacy meeting.

CRM design updates

Availability monitoring

Luminus asks for clarification about the $p_{\max, \text{available}}$. Elia says that its quarter-hourly and depends on how the capacity provider gives the information. Factors such as technical, operational, and meteorological are taken into account in its calculation. Luminus further asks if the value can be adjusted afterwards. Elia confirms – even after real-time, it's possible to update the availability. The NRP and the $p_{\max, \text{available}}$ are distinct.

Febeliec asks if the adaptation only affects daily scheduled CMUs. Elia confirms. Febeliec asks the situation for the non-daily scheduled CMUs. Elia states that the existing framework is applicable for these. The modification to the design makes things more equal between different types of units. Febeliec further asks the situation about CMUs that generate under 25 MW that have no obligation to provide a daily schedule and can't use the declared market price. Elia says that they're considered as non-daily scheduled and work with declared prices.

iCAROS integration in the CRM

Luminus asks clarification about the RMC notification. Elia says preferably, the notification is done ex-ante – in this case, the notification will be considered announced. Announced missing capacity leads to lower penalties. However, there is the possibility to notify ex-post if a real-time forced outage occurs. Luminus then asks if notification has to be realized ex-ante to consider the missing capacity as announced. Elia confirms. Luminus understands updates can occur ex-post – but when exactly can one make the difference between announced and unannounced. Elia answers that the deadline to make the switch between announced and unannounced is at D-1 at 11:00. However, in the availability plan, depending on the moment they're notified (i.e., respecting the deadline or not), changes or unavailabilities can be determined if they were announced or unannounced. Febeliec then asks if Elia decides what's

announced or unannounced. Elia answers that by default, it's labelled as announced. However, considering the limitation in the number of days for announced unavailable capacity, Elia wants to give the option to the capacity provider to, afterwards, switch to unannounced to spare days for later (considering the limitation abovementioned). Elia clarifies that changing the availability plan ex-post, leads to, by default, unannounced. However, if an unavailability is announced in the availability plan before the deadline, one can change it back to unannounced. Therefore, after the deadline, it is always considered unannounced. Febeliec stresses out that it's important to be able to update the availability plan. To conclude, Elia states that iCAROS doesn't include the layer of announced and unannounced, that is relevant for the CRM and to be integrated.

Febeliec states that maintenance for battery parks and DSM are not planned one year in advance – one can have an idea but no specific day. Elia takes the point – it has also been communicated by COGEN Vlaanderen. Elia is going to further analyze the topic to avoid penalizing for regular maintenance works. Engie asks if the mentioned winter is the legal winter – i.e., from November to end of March. Elia confirms. Engie further asks if the scheduled maintenance is also applicable to availability testing & availability monitoring. Elia answers that the limitation of the normal announced unavailability of 25 days during the winter remains. If there is an availability test, Elia also determines the obligated capacity that takes into account the remaining maximum capacity that is left. However, if an announced scheduled maintenance exists, Elia won't apply the availability testing at this particular moment. Engie states that it complexifies the testing. A visual comparing the obligations for the capacity providers could be fruitful. Elia takes the point, the development is being finalized and efforts to render it clear will be made.

Elia concludes by stating that it is further working in order to reduce the workload as much as possible. Any idea or vision regarding the iCAROS integration would be welcome.

Secondary market

Tessengerlo Group asks if CDSO-connected delivery points are also concerned for the validated metering data that is available at the end of month M+1. Elia affirms.

Introduction of DSM linked CMUs

Febeliec notices that the case of a mixed CMU – storage and DSM – to follow Elia requirements is not covered with Elia's proposal. Elia takes the point and will work on the topic.

Luminus states that indicating if changes will be retroactive or not in a single place would be fruitful. In fact, with the multiple changes, it would bear fruits to have a clarification about the retroactivity. Elia takes the point, however, the CREG decides on the retroactivity.

Introduction of Y-2 and 200h rule

Febeliec states that the CRM is supposed to be technology neutral – however, Elia mentions “certain technologies”. Elia answers that the proposals are ‘technology neutral’. However, it is clear that technologies with longer (development) lead time can (in general) only participate in the Y-4 auction in the CRM, as they won’t have the time to develop their capacities in Y-1. Then the issue is pushed to Y-1. Febeliec states that one should pay attention to the technology neutrality in the development of the CRM. Elia takes the point.

Dynamic 200h

Febeliec is concerned that participating to the Y-2 auction for DSM seems complicated. Furthermore, Febeliec states its concerns about the Y-4 auction contracting too much capacity and not leave any room for the Y-1 auction. Elia puts a nuance to the statement.

Engie asks about the timing of the changes. Elia answers that a change to the Electricity Law is required and therefore the Cabinet will initiate the change process. Engie is concerned about the timings. Febeliec further adds that a notification to the EC would be required. Elia agrees and takes the remarks.

Y-2 auction

Elia expresses its desire to receive feedback from the market parties regarding the 200h rule and the Y-2 auction.

Update from Cabinet

The Cabinet gives an update on different regulatory aspects.

First, in the short-term, the ongoing notification at the EC of the amended is mentioned. The approval is only a matter of weeks.

The Cabinet withdrew the amendment on payback exemption as the EC did not agree with it. To avoid overcoming the first objections of the EC and to continue the notification, the request for an exemption was withdrawn.

Febeliec states its disappointment regarding the non-introduction of the payback exemption. Further, Febeliec states that the EC’s understanding is not correct. Febeliec states that the interest of its members regarding the CRM has been reduced. The Cabinet says that a workaround had to be found to proceed for the approval of the EC – therefore, that is why the payback exemption wasn’t included in the notification. However, the Cabinet is open to collaborate with all related stakeholders to improve the approach to the topic looking at the upcoming notification next year (Y-2, 200h, ...).

Engie asks if the reapproval of the CRM will be realized before the end of month. The Cabinet answers that it has worked very hard, and the aim is to have the commission approval before the end of September.

In the long-term, the Cabinet is working on the scenario and the intermediate values. The discussions are ongoing, namely with the Council of Ministers.

Moreover, the change of law is being prepared for the continuous improvement of the CRM. The 200h rule can be more dynamically applied and the Y-2 auction that can be activated if the evolutions of the market show that they would be needed. Engie states that it's important to have a good view on these evolutions as they would have an impact on the ongoing auction. The Cabinet takes the point – the timing is crucial, the sooner being the better.

Febeliec restates its concerns regarding an auction targeting specific technologies for a CRM. Elia says that it was merely a first proposal, reiterates that the auction will be technology neutral, and that feedback is welcome so that it can be taken onboard in the Comité de Suivi meetings. FEBEG adds that the proposal from Elia is going the right way – looking forward to seeing the dynamic split for Y-2 auction.

The Cabinet then states that a couple of weeks will be required to ensure the review of the article 4bis is realized. The cleaning up of SR and LCT articles is being realized. Engie asks if cleaning up means removing these articles from the law. The Cabinet confirms.

AOB & Next meetings

No further comments.

3. Next Meetings

The next meetings are currently foreseen on:

- **Friday 13th of October 2023 A.M.: WG**
- **Wednesday 8th of November 2023 A.M.: WG**
- **Friday 1st of December 2023 A.M.: WG**
- **Wednesday 31st of January 2024 A.M.: WG**
- **Tuesday 22nd of February 2024 A.M.: WG**