

# WG Adequacy #37 – Minutes of the Meeting

## Monday 27<sup>th</sup> of January 2025

### Meeting

<b>Date</b>	27/01/2025
<b>Organiser</b>	Voet Jan

### Participants

		Attended
Baugnet Christophe	Engie	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Baudhuin Serge	Eneco	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Boustani Zackaria	FOD Economie	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Callaerts Ben	Luminus	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Celis Chris	FEBEG	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Coppin Xavier	Engie	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Debrigode Patricia	CREG	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Declerck Lucas	CREG	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Dessard Noel	Engie	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Delferiere Alan	FOD Economie	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Gerken Benoît	CREG	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Gitton-Rivière Romain	YUSO	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Harlem Steven	Luminus	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Lippens Pierre	Flexcity	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Mast Pauline	Luminus	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Résimont Thibaut	CREG	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Reyniers Stefaan	COGEN Vlaanderen	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Rkiouak Laylla	FOD Economie	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Siborgs Joeri	Giga storage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Strosse Tom	Eneco	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Tirez Andreas	Febeliec	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Van den Bosch Sven	Fluvius	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Van der Biest Piet	Siemens Energy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Van De Keer Lieven	BSTOR	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Van Doorslaer Guillaume	CREG	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Van Gijzeghem Francies	ABDE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Vandenbrande Eric	Engie	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Vandersyppe Hans	COGEN Vlaanderen	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Verrydt Eric	Zandvliet Power	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

### Report

<b>Author</b>	Doan Nguyen
<b>Function</b>	PMO Adequacy
<b>Date report</b>	09/02/2025
<b>Status</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Draft <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Final version

## 1. Agenda

- Welcome
- Functioning rules – Public consultation responses
- AOB
- Next meetings

## 2. Minutes of Meetings

*Disclaimer: The slides used as a support of the presentation are available [online](#). The minutes of meetings only cover the discussions that took place during the Working Group.*

### **Functioning rules – Public consultation responses**

Regarding the pre-delivery penalties, Luminus comments that sometimes it is better to start 2 months later rather than delay the capacity contract for a complete year. There needs to be something in between to avoid a shift of 1 year. Elia agrees with that observation, but also remarks that the topic is too complex to handle at the moment between the end of the public consultation and the submission. However, Elia assures that they have noted the point to assess it in the future.

On the topic of unavailable capacity and scheduled maintenance, Luminus asks if this means that the operator needs to use their own budget for scheduled maintenance when there are works being done on the grid to cover for the unavailability. Elia explains that from experience, when they are carrying out works on the line the capacity provider also uses this opportunity to perform maintenance on their own units. Luminus believes that the explanation is valid but questions what would happen when those are not being done at the same moment in time. Elia thinks this is an important point but mentions the budget of 20 days that was introduced last year for the Scheduled Maintenance and wonders why there was not necessarily an issue before when there was no such budget. Elia states that for the moment no change will be made on this but notes the point for further discussion.

For the availability testing, Luminus remarks that their concern is not only the short notice between the notification and the planning of the test but also that for some CMU's the output depends heavily on temperature meaning that the moment of the test can make a big difference. Elia replies that they will always try to schedule availability testing during times of scarcity or moments that are relevant for adequacy.

Luminus points out that this is already an issue for them because when calibrating their bids they have modeled that the availability test can be done during the quarter of an hour that is the most favorable for the power plant which is at the coldest moment of the day. As such, this has nothing to do with the AMT moment so the test is to check the NRP and not to check your contribution to adequacy during moments of scarcity.

Luminus adds that they did some intensive modeling to take into account the risk of penalties which included being able to choose the best moment during the day to plan the test and insists that what Elia is suggesting has a retroactive impact on the existing contracts, so it's not how they internally did the modeling to calculate the price. Elia will take this point and is open to discuss with Luminus' modeling experts. Luminus agrees to check with their modeling experts to see what can be shared with Elia in order to align and better understand the modeling.

Next to that, Luminus inquires on the timing to countersign a secondary market contract.

Elia replies that once a transaction has been approved, they have 10 Working Days to sign the contract (or 15 Working Days in case of potential market abuse to the CREG).

Luminus observes that this is stricter than a primary market transaction. Elia confirms this.

Regarding the secondary market batch process, Luminus requests some clarification on whether the introduced transactions are treated at the same time or sequentially. Elia explains that if they have all the transactions all at once then it can be processed in parallel, however if not all transactions are notified then it will be sequentially.

Luminus questions if all the batched transactions are rejected or accepted together. Elia answers that the delivery has not started yet so there is no experience on this situation for the moment and it's difficult to anticipate but if for example there is potential market abuse then it will probably be the whole batch that gets rejected.

YUSO goes back to the link between opt-out notification and the secondary market, asking who is available to purchase obligations on the secondary market. Elia clarifies that in general anyone can participate. If you decided to not

participate in an auction then you have done a full opt-out. This opt-out has been derated so the derated part is not available on the secondary market. However, the difference between the nominal reference power and the derated power is still available. So in general, every unit can access the secondary market but the difference is the amount that is available. COGEN Vlaanderen follows up on this topic and asks if you need to be prequalified, as opposed to just doing the fast track. Elia confirms that fast track is not enough, but that it doesn't block from doing the regular prequalification process afterwards.

### ***AOB & Next meetings***

The next meetings are currently foreseen on:

- Friday 21/02/2025: WG Adequacy (from 09:30 to 12:30)
- Monday 03/03/2025: General info session (from 13:00 to 15:00)
- Tuesday 25/03/2025: Detailed info session (from 13:00 to 17:00)
- Friday 28/03/2025: WG Adequacy (from 13:30 to 16:30)
- Thursday 10/04/2025: Detailed info session (from 13:00 to 17:00)
- Thursday 17/04/2025: WG Adequacy (from 13:30 to 16:30)