

# Implementation Network Codes

WG Belgian Grid  
23 February 2018

H. Vandenbroucke


# Status update “wish-list” items

Topic	Forum	Approach	Status
<b>Definitions</b>	WG Belgian Grid (unless specifically linked to other items dealt with in other WGs)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Start with definitions supporting the ‘Significant Grid User’-debate and their links with existing definitions in the Federal Grid Code</li> <li>Discuss need and scope of items already proposed in the written contributions</li> <li>Call for more exhaustive inventory</li> </ul>	<b>CLOSED – Cfr. Proposal FGC</b>
<b>CDS</b>	WG Belgian Grid	Build on state-of-play/earlier reflections. Elia will provide a first proposal for discussion.	<b>CLOSED – Cfr. Proposal FGC</b>
<b>Capacity reservation</b>	WG Belgian Grid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Present and check whether the proposal is still OK.</li> <li>Check whether it can already be introduced independent from NC-driven process changes</li> </ul>	<b>CLOSED – Cfr. Proposal FGC</b>
<b>Grid Losses</b>	WG Belgian Grid	<b>Stakeholders are invited to present their vision and proposals</b>	<b>CLOSED – No inclusion in FGC proposal</b>
<b>Market roles &amp; responsibilities &amp; BRP</b>	WG Belgian Grid	Scoping debate needed in WG BG to pinpoint which concrete aspects can be covered. <b>All stakeholders are invited to further detail their ideas, focused on the Federal Grid Code.</b> This topic should also cover BRP-aspects.	<b>CLOSED – Cfr. Proposals FGC, T&amp;C</b>
<b>Supplier</b>	WG Belgian Grid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Stakeholders are asked to provide their more concrete ideas and suggestions.</b></li> <li>More generally, linked to market roles &amp; definitions.</li> </ul>	<b>CLOSED – Cfr. proposals FGC, T&amp;C</b>

# Status update “wish-list” items

Topic	Forum	Approach	Status
<b>Storage</b>	WG Belgian Grid	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Elia will facilitate a debate on the need to introduce storage connection requirements in the Federal Grid Code</li> <li>• Stakeholders are invited to provide their input on the concrete needs for the Federal Grid Code related to storage</li> </ul>	<b>CLOSED – Cfr. proposals FGC and storage connection req.</b>
<b>Aspects linked to metering</b>	WG Belgian Grid	Stakeholders are invited to further explain their views on how the FGC should evolve for this topic	<b>CLOSED – Cfr. proposal FGC</b>
<b>Flexible Access &amp; CIPU</b>	WG Belgian Grid (/WG SO&EMD)	Regional evolutions to be taken into account and compatibility at federal level to be checked/discussed. To be discussed along/after the discussions already launched	<b>CLOSED – Cfr. proposals FGC, T&amp;C (iCAROS)</b>
<b>AS (product design)</b>	WG Balancing	Integration with overall Roadmap and NC requirements	<b>CLOSED – Cfr. proposals FGC, T&amp;C</b>
<b>AS (market design)</b>	WG Balancing	Integration with overall Roadmap and NC requirements	<b>CLOSED – Cfr. proposals FGC, T&amp;C</b>

# Preview public consultations starting on 15th March



Proposal	Public consultation	Submission
<b>Federal Grid Code</b>	FRA + NED	FRA + NED
<b>General Requirements</b>	ENG	FRA + NED (+ ENG)
<b>T&amp;C BRP</b>	FRA + NED	FRA + NED
<b>T&amp;C BSP</b>	ENG	FRA + NED