

Minutes of Meeting WG EMD & SO Jun 22, 2020

Participants

Matthys-Donnadieu	James	ELIA, Co-Chairman
Carton	Filip	ELIA, Co-Chairman
Van Campenhout	Steve	ELIA, Secretary
Genêt	Benjamin	ELIA
Malfliet	Bernard	ELIA
Reghem	Jean-Michel	ELIA
Funck	Martin	ELIA (for topic 1)
Van Meirhaeghe	Peter	ELIA (for topic 2)
Castadot	Raphaël	ELIA (for topic 2)
Cuijpers	Christian	CREG
Shoutteet	Nico	CREG
Baudson	Alex	European Commodities
Decrop	Johan	EDORA
Le Page	Jérôme	EFET
Coppin	Xavier	Engie
Maes	Guillaume	Engie
Laleman	Ruben	Engie
Waignier	Jean-François	FEBEG
Van Bossuyt	Michaël	Febeliec
Jourdain	Sigrid	FOD
Robbelein	Jo	FOD
Harlem	Steven	Luminus
Kreutzkamp	Paul	Next Kraftwerke
Lapierre	Charles	Nord Pool
Schjelderup	Ina	RWE
Alban	Maury	

1. AGENDA

System operation

1. Incentive transparency on congestion mgmt (Martin Funck)
2. Update on emergency & restoration (Peter Van Meirhaeghe, Raphaël Castadot)

European market design

3. Update on CEP70 (Steve Van Campenhout)
 - Successful go-live
 - Outlook for the coming years
4. Intraday evolutions
 - NLL ID nomination gate extension (Jean-Michel Reghem)
 - Analysis of continuous trading usage on Belgian borders (Jean-Michel Reghem)
 - Implementation of 15' and 30' MTU for ID XB exchanges (Jean-Michel Reghem)
 - EFET's vision on intraday market design (Jérôme Le Page, EFET)
5. Update on Core LTCCM (Benjamin Genêt)

AOB

2. REPORT

J. Matthys-Donnadieu opens the meeting by welcoming all participants.

No remarks have been received on the MoM from the Feb7th meeting, the MoM are therefore approved.

The proposed agenda for today's meeting is approved.

1. Incentive transparency on congestion mgmt.

M. Funck presents the first quarterly report stemming from the incentive about transparency for congestion management.

Question: is the information reported in aggregated form or item by item?
Answer: item by item.

Question: are the costs related to redispatching also being published? Answer: these are published by Elia as well. It is noted down as an improvement to consolidate this information into the quarterly report.

Question: aside from this publication by Elia for Belgium, is there information being published on CCR / pan-EU level, also with respect to alternative solutions?
Answer: transparency related to Core is tackled in the appropriate Core methodologies.

2. Update on emergency & restoration

NCER implementation and black start tender

P. Van Meirhaeghe presents the overview and timing of the NCER implementation, explaining more in depth the test plan and the 2021-2023 black start tender.

Question: the test plan mentions "irrespective if contracted or not by TSO", can you elaborate? Answer: Elia has indeed the right to ask this to SGUs. Elia does not ask to do more than what is reflected in connection agreement.

Question: the test plan mentions "required capabilities". Doesn't this depend on the installation date versus the applicable version of the federal grid code?
Answer: indeed, Elia keeps track of this.

System defense plan

R. Castadot presents the system defense plan.

Question: who are the "stakeholders"? Answer: SGU's identified in the restoration plan.

Question: how will this information be made available to the market? Answer: cf. the communication procedure in the rules for suspension and restoration of market activities.

Roll out blackout proof phones

P. Van Meirhaeghe provides an update of the roll out for the blackout proof phones and clarifies that SGU on the slide refers to the "identified SGUs".

3. Update on CEP 70%

S. Van Campenhout informs that Elia completed the parallel run of its new tooling and deployed it successfully into the CWE FB DA process on Apr 1st.

He presents the [report](#) (published post-meeting on Elia's website) regarding the alleviation of the foreseeable grounds upon which the derogation granted to Elia for the year 2020 is based.

The implementation of the Core DA and Core RDCT methodologies are key. These will not all be implemented prior 2022 hence Elia will submit a new request for derogation for the year 2021.

Question: are derogations bound in time i.e. will they de facto stop at 31/12/2025? Answer: although it is not Elia's intention to continue to make use of derogations, legally speaking there is no such constraint in the CEP.

EFET thanks Elia for its pro-active and transparent mindset, and asks what can be done by Elia and/or by CREG in order to implement this mindset across Europe:

- S. Van Campenhout and B. Genêt refer to the process in Core that will guide the 2021 derogation requests;
- CREG confirms Elia is acting best-in-class and explains that NRAs have developed a position paper to streamline the rationale / justifications when requesting new derogations. In this position paper many of CREG's attention points have been taken into account.

4. Intraday evolutions

Nomination gate extension NLL

J-M. Reghem informs that the nomination gate on NemoLink is extended from 45' to 50'.

Analysis of continuous trading usage on Belgian borders

J-M. Reghem shows that the number of trades is growing quarter by quarter in SIDC, and zooms in on the usage of ID capacity on the BE-NL, BE-FR and GB-BE borders.

On all Belgian borders ID capacity is being used, up to 1000-1500 MW in some cases.

Question: can NemoLink cope with big shifts in ID compared to DA? Answer: the limitation is on the hourly variation (ramping constraint), not on the absolute shift from DA to ID.

Implementation of 15' and 30' MTU for ID XB exchanges

J-M. Reghem explains the advantages, the challenges and the roadmap for the implementation of 15' and 30' MTU on the Belgian borders.

EFET reacts that the roadmap makes sense.

Question (Nord Pool): how do market parties see the priority between 15' implementation in local trading solutions versus 15' implementation in XBID? Answer (EFET): we expect NEMOs to fulfil the legal obligation on XBID, whereas local trading solutions are on voluntary basis.

EFET's vision on intraday market design

J. Le Page presents EFET's vision on the improvements for the intraday market design.

Febeliec in general supports ID evolutions, and remarks that higher ID liquidity triggers the reflection on who is going to pay what.

J. Matthys-Donnadieu thanks J. Le Page for this presentation, and reacts that the ID market will become increasingly important in light of the decarbonization (RES integration). Hence Elia will support any sensible development, looking also to the challenges further ahead:

- The system integration of an additional package of ~2 GW offshore in the Belgian bidding zone, for which Elia published a paper for consultation;
- Vision on the 2030 market design, for which the dialogue will be kick-started by ENTSO-E after summer.

Question (Febeliec): what is Elia's position on DFD? Answer: this topic is dealt with in the TF Balancing. A consultation on the topic is expected during summer.

5. Update on Core LTCCM

B. Genêt explains the latest Core TSOs proposal both in terms of content (amended ACER proposal) and process.

EFET doubts if applying flow-based would deliver an added value compared to cNTC. It seems that the existing level of capacities are somehow a target to meet and the concepts of minRAM and min/max bound are set in relation to this target.

B. Genêt clarifies that:

- Capacities have to be coordinated hence it not a bilateral decision anymore hence there will be deviations from the existing values. He anticipates these discussions will not be easy;
- The impact of an eventual switch to FB would impact the allocation step. FB is theoretically more efficient yet also more complex.

AOB

A next meeting will take place in October, with as preliminary agenda:

- ALEGrO update
- LTCCM update
- CEP70: derogation 2021 update